1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT DEC - 42009

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, NO.: 09-2797M

Plaintiff,

v.

MELISSA JIMENEZ,

Defendant.

ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING [Fed.R.Crim.P. 32.1(a)(6); 18 U.S.C. 3143(a)]

The defendant having been arrested in this district pursuant to a warrant issued by the United States District Court for the Southern District of California for alleged violation(s) of the terms and conditions of her supervised release; and

The Court having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a), The Court finds that:

Α. (X) The defendant has not met her burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that she is not likely to flee if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is

Deten[2].ord

Case 2:09-mj-02797-DUTY Document 6 Filed 12/04/09 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #:12

based on the allegations in the instant Petition and her outstanding failure to appear; and В. The defendant has not met her burden of establishing by (X) clear and convincing evidence that she is not likely to pose a danger to the safety of any other person or the community if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based on her prior criminal history. IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending the further revocation proceedings. DATED: December 4, 2009 Morgaret a Magle United States Magistrate Judge

Deten[2].ord